UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CAROLL BROWN,)
Plaintiff,) Case No.: 2:21-cv-00016-GMN-NJK
JOAN KRANE, et al.,	ORDER
Defendants.)

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 3), of United States Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe, which states that this case should be remanded to the Las Vegas Justice Court.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a *de novo* determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id*. The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (See Min. Order, ECF No. 3) (setting a September 1, 2021, deadline for objections).

1	Accordingly,
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 3), is
3	ADOPTED in full.
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case is REMANDED to the Las Vegas Justice
5	Court.
6	The Clerk of Court is instructed to close the case.
7	Dated this <u>13</u> day of September, 2021.
8	
9	Claris M. Navarra District Indea
10	Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge United States District Court
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	